

West Valley Citizen Task Force

www.westvalleyctf.org

May 5, 2005

s Barnes
ier West
219, Suite 200
NY 14779

Review and Comment, ERA Draft Report "West Valley, NY – Redevelopment of the Nuclear Service Center Site," February 2005

arnes:

. Task Force (CTF) has reviewed the above-referenced report and we appreciate the opportunity to comments below. In general, we feel ERA has missed the mark in meeting the report's primary such as identifying potential new uses for this facility and how the site's attributes can attract, sustain and use new uses.

Comments

would greatly benefit from a thorough review by a good technical editor. There are many typographical errors, and awkward or poor wording choices. It would be time consuming for us to identify all s for you. Instead, we will focus our comments on the report's content and methodology.

material should be completely referenced and compiled in a bibliography.

Introduction

1 should not prejudge that the Project is in the process of phasing out site cleanup activities.

Goals and Objectives

lleted goal or objective should state that much of the liquid high-level waste has been vitrified and its contingent on a federal repository being opened in the West. The fourth bulleted goal needs to state that ng staff will be an asset to new businesses that are attracted to the area. The fifth bullet should not imply ination will remain or be cleaned up only marginally. In the sixth bullet, what is "biomed"?

Site Description

a map of the site and surrounding region, including the West Valley Demonstration Project and Western Nuclear Service Center boundaries, Erie County, Cattaraugus County, and major roads and highways. pictures that are included in this section. The reference to LLRW should be to "LLRW and other e site is less than 50 miles from Buffalo. The reference to the March 2003 Notice of Intent (NOI) should by the original NOI in 1988.

Existing Facilities

basis for stating that the DOE plans to remove all facilities by 2009?

for Erie County. A
" See the 1996 draft
"region of influence"

ographic and economic
2.1 says that the highest
c, and technical
of this type? Are they

wing terms, and ends
ture and offering
led by New York City,
ss and economic

imate and potential
existing industrial and
pment options for the

the second set of

d personnel employed
our draft. The NYS

years."

nytime soon.

sidering the regional
duction facility?

eting. Do soils exist on
prejudge or imply that
to be a negative

te. The potential for reusing portions of
e balance of the site has been protected
an undisturbed natural habitat should not
ch station? The unused north-south
out anglers, hikers, campers, rock
the land's pre-WNYNSC use was
d to that use.

as corn, barley and wheat in relation to

– Green Buildings program and the

he site characteristics and personnel in a
nd utilities, and the talents of the site
gradation, or ideally, improve the overall
RA proposes to meet the primary
itted. We look forward to working with
ductive re-use options for the site.

gement Program

ent Administration

ing