



## WEST VALLEY CITIZEN TASK FORCE

July 28, 2006

Bryan C. Bower, Director  
West Valley Demonstration Project

[REDACTED]

U.S. Department of Energy  
10282 Rock Springs Road  
West Valley, New York 14171-9799

RE: *Draft Environmental Assessment for the Decontamination, Demolition, and Removal of Various Facilities at the West Valley Demonstration Project (Draft EA), DOE/EA-1552, dated June 26, 2006*

Dear Mr. Bower:

The West Valley Citizen Task Force (CTF) appreciates this opportunity to comment on the subject document. We also thank the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for the recent extension of the public comment deadline on the Draft EA.

Our main concern is that the Draft EA does not meet the requirements of federal law such as the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA).

The Draft EA, by allowing premature removal of buildings and other facilities that would be needed to carry out certain alternatives in the *Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship Environmental Impact Statement* (Decommissioning EIS), would prejudice the outcome of the Decommissioning EIS and thereby violate NEPA. In our view, this is a very fundamental problem. On page 4 of the Draft EA, DOE suggests that the Draft EA is compatible with the Decommissioning EIS because it would not affect whether the decommissioning criteria for the site could be met by any of the EIS alternatives. We disagree. Premature removal of buildings and other facilities under the Draft EA would not entirely prevent any alternative from being carried out, but it would bias the costs. In effect, it would be an irretrievable commitment of resources. The concern is that some of these same facilities would need to be rebuilt or replaced to achieve certain

Mr. Bryan C. Bower  
July 27, 2006  
Page 2 of 2

these premature removals. We agree and also raise the related concern that these removals would prejudice the outcome of the Decommissioning EIS.

Since we have not yet seen drafts of the Decommissioning EIS, we cannot say how large a work force

would be needed to carry out any of its alternatives. However, based on the draft issued in 1996, it is reasonable to assume that some of the decommissioning alternatives would require a much larger work force than is currently employed on the site. For these alternatives, some workers will likely be handling wastes in storage structures while others will be handling equipment in warehouses, servicing equipment in maintenance facilities, and training for further waste removal activities. All such workers will need adequate sanitary facilities. Until the Decommissioning EIS is issued and the size of the necessary work force has been identified, DOE should take no steps to remove facilities that this work force would need for its various decommissioning tasks.

We ask DOE to withdraw the Draft EA and to focus instead on completion of the Decommissioning EIS.

Sincerely,



Raymond C. Vaughan  
On Behalf of the West Valley Citizen Task Force

cc: Samuel W. Bodman, Secretary of the U.S. DOE  
James A. Rispoli, Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management at DOE  
Bryan C. Bower, DOE Director/West Valley Demonstration Project  
U.S. Senator Hillary R. Clinton  
U.S. Senator Charles Schumer  
U.S. Representative Brian M. Higgins  
U.S. Representative John R. Kuhl, Jr.  
U.S. Representative Thomas M. Reynolds  
U.S. Representative Louise M. Slaughter  
New York State Governor, George E. Pataki  
Peter R. Smith, President, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority